

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/591,981	06/12/2000		Bruce McKendry	0414.63308	3537
24978	7590	04/22/2005		EXAMINER	
GREER, B		CRAIN	MAIORINO, ROZ		
300 S WAC			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	CHICAGO, IL 60606			3763	
				DATE MAILED: 04/22/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

; ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/591,981	MCKENDRY, BRUCE			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Roz Maiorino	3763			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for a cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ja	anuary 2005.				
2a)⊠		action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>6-8 and 10-12</u> is/are pending in the appearance of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) <u>10-12</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>6-8</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	•			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)□ objected to by th	e Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applic rity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage			
AAA - L -	-4/->					
Attachmer	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ	any (PTO-413)			
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Ma	il Date			
· —	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/591,981 Page 2

Art Unit: 3763

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claim6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No.6127656 to Kilmer et al, and further in view of US Patent No.5007899 to Larsson or US Patent No. 5071403 to Larsson.

Kilmer teaches an air pump with a movable diaphragm in a chamber, at least one out port, a threaded shaft operatively connected to the diaphragm, the shaft having an axis, and a motor which oscillates the diaphragm axially the motor being coupled to the diagram thought threaded engagement with the shaft that translates motor rotation into diaphragm oscillation. (figure 5, Col.6, lines 40-60)

Kilmer however does not teach a breast pump. Larsson teaches a breast pump with an air pump.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one being skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have added Klimer's air pump in a breast pump, because as demonstrated by both Larsson's patent's air pumps are well known in breast pump art.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 10-12 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/28/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Larsson teaches an air pump already Klimers' air pump is an imporvment on the air pump of Larsson where Klimers teaches a better air pump with an improved vacuum chamber.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/591,981

Art Unit: 3763

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

Page 4

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Roz Maiorino whose telephone number is 571-272-

4960. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4377. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RM.

margan. Cara

1 11110000 P 11177 2700